



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,979	09/739,979 12/20/2000		Gary Qu Jin	10199-US	3732
23553	7590	06/07/2004		EXAMINER	
MARKS	& CLERK		ZHENG, EVA Y		
P.O. BOX STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, ON KIP	· 5S7	2634	1	
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Comment	09/739,979	JIN, GARY QU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eva Yi Zheng	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	ecember 2000.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 3,4,7 and 8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.3. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in United Kingdom on 12/23/1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the U.S application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) On page 1, line 6, word: "Discreet" should be changed to –Discrete--.

 Please correct the same misspelling mistake throughout the entire disclosure.
- On Page 5, L 23, "inputs N(k)" should be changed to --inputs V(k)--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) The phrase: "The method according to claim 5" should be changed to -The method according to claim 4--. Appropriate correction is required.
- b) The phrase: " a second power per channel level" should be changed to --a second power mask per channel level--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 6, the specification has failed to describe the limitation: "a residual impulse spectrum". The specification only discloses impulse response h(n), which has different meaning and concept than a residual impulse spectrum. Therefore, a residual impulse spectrum was not clearly defined for understanding.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3, 4, 7, and 8 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

 None of the prior art teaches or suggests a first power per channel level
 and a second power mask per channel. Moreover, the additions of a

 cross channel interference value to an interference noise value.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eva Yi Zheng whose telephone number is 703-305-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-879-9306.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

May 28, 2004

Eva Yi Zheng Examiner Art Unit 2634

Thurs To

SHUWANG LIU PRIMARY EXAMPLER